Subject: RE: PY

Incoming Co250005

Œ 🗟 Mail From: "Erik Petersen" <petersen@relia.net> File Edit View Actions Tools Window Help Mail 🗓 Close 🚓 Reply 🕶 🖒 Forward 🕶 👸 From: "Erik Petersen" <petersen@relia.net> To: Jim Smith Properties Personalize Message Source 0

3/23/2009 10

HiJim,

artesian flow is visibly leaking upward from the annular space of well Y-36 is from west to east from Y-36 to Y-45, but from Y-36 to Y-49 the apparent at about 5 gpm, suggesting a poorly completed or improperly functioning gradient is from east to west in that area. As I mentioned on the phone indeed from west to east. However, if you include well Y-36, the gradient the gradient using data from wells Y-49 and Y-45, the hydraulic gradient is isn't quite as straight forward as I had previously thought. If you look at today, I think the data from well Y-36 are somewhat suspect however, as was going over the coal well water levels again, and I guess the gradient

Thanks, Erik

From: Jim Smith [mailto:jimdsmith@utah.gov] Sent: Thursday, March 19, 2009 8:50 AM To: Erik Petersen Erik Petersen ---Original Message----

Ę

Subject: FYI

Greetings.

caught my eye is the cross-sections, especially C+C, which extends the east Attached is information I recently found in the Division's digitized files; flow into the pits? end of your Drawing 7-6. Paul indicates that the coal seam is saturated and has an artesian head; will that effect the predictions that no water will don't know if you've already seen it. There are parts missing, but what

(Deleted)

» スラパルパッ゚(ぬ) c (も) n l E n l Fin l w l / fo t l fin l E n l E n l E n l E n l E n cha » "... ユスススス ぴ フ